ATTACHMENT D REMEDIAL ACTION REPORT FORM



New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection Site Remediation Program

REMEDIAL ACTION REPORT FORM

Date Stamp
(For Department use only)

SECTION A. SITE					
Site Name: Berry Lane Park (including the former Morris Canal, Chromium Site 121 and Chromium Site 207 (COPR))					
Program Interest (PI) Number(s): 568229					
	se Tracking Number(s) for this submission: 66628 (Chromium Site 121); 80621 (Chromium Site 207	·)			
	This form must be attached to the Cover/Certification Form				
CE/	CTION B. SCOPE OF REMEDIAL ACTION REPORT				
_	Does the RAR address: Area(s) of Concern (AOCs) Only Entire Site (Based on a completed and submitted Preliminary Assessment/Site Investigation)				
2.	Total number of contaminated AOCs associated with the case: 16 AOC-1: Former Mo	orris Canal			
3.	Total number of contaminated AOCs addressed in this submission: 3 AOC-2: Chromium	Site 121			
4.	Are there any outstanding contaminated AOCs associated with the case where the remedial action has NOT been performed?		□No		
5.	Does this RAR address a discharge/release from a federally regulated UST?	🗌 Yes	X No		
Wh	en answering the remaining questions on this form consider only the AOCs addressed in this	submissi	on.		
SE	CTION C. GENERAL				
1.	Does this submission include Remedial Action Permit Application(s) that require Site Remediation Program approval?	🗌 Yes	⊠ No		
2.	Was a remediation initiated after May 6, 2010, for new construction / change in the use of the site proposed for the purpose of residential use, use as a licensed child care center or use as a school?	🗌 Yes	⊠ No		
	If "Yes," was an unrestricted use or a presumptive remedy implemented?	🗌 Yes	☐ No		
3.	Was an alternative remedy approved by the NJDEP?	🗌 Yes	✓ No		
	If "Yes," provide the date of the approval:				
4.	Has the remediation varied from the Technical Rules?	🗌 Yes	⋉ No		
	If "Yes." provide the citation(s) from which the remediation has varied and the page(s) in the attached document where the rationale for the variance is provided.				
	N.J.A.C. 7:26E Page				
	N.J.A.C. 7:26E Page				
	N.J.A.C. 7:26E Page				
5.	Were the laboratory Reporting Limits below applicable remediation standards/screening levels criteria required for the contaminants of concern for the AOCs addressed in this submission?	🔀 Yes	□No		
6.	Have past NJDEP-documented deficiencies been addressed in this submission? Yes	☐ No	X N/A		
7.	Did the remediation deviate from that proposed in the Remedial Action Workplan? If "Yes," specify the section/page(s) in the report where the deviation(s) are discussed:	🛛 Yes	□No		
	Section 8.11/Page 28	_			
8.	Did the remedial action render the property unusable for future redevelopment or for recreational use (N.J.A.C. 7:26C-6.4(b)?	🗌 Yes	⊠ No		

SE	ECTION D. SITE CONDITIONS		
1.	At any time, was there any radiological contamination detected at the AOCs addressed in this submission?] Yes	⊠ No
2.	At any time, did any of the AOCs addressed in this submission contain Ordnance and Explosives/ Unexploded Ordnance (OE/UXO)?] Yes	⊠ No
3.	Did the remedial action involve containment of free product?	Yes	No
4.	Has dioxin been detected at levels above NJDEP's interim direct contact soil screening level of 50 ppt dioxin TEQ (TCDD Toxicity Equivalence Quotient) in any AOCs addressed in this submission?	Yes	⊠ No
5.	Have any of the following contaminants <i>ever</i> been detected in sediment above the ecological screening levels at the AOCs addressed in this submission?	Yes	⊠ No
	If "Yes," check all that apply:		
	☐ Arsenic ☐ Dioxin ☐ Mercury ☐ PCBs ☐ Pesticides		
6.	Is remediation complete in all affected media at the AOCs addressed in this submission?	Yes	⊠ No
7.	Did contaminants from the AOCs addressed in this submission discharge to surface water?	Yes	No
8.	Did contaminants from the AOCs addressed in this submission discharge to an Environmentally Sensitive Natural Resource (ESNR)?] Yes	⊠ No
9.	Are any of the following conditions currently present for the AOCs addressed in this submission? (chec	k all tha	t apply):
	Groundwater: (Historic Fill and USTs) ☐ Contaminated ground water in the overburden aquifer ☐ Contaminated ground water in a confined aquifer ☐ Contaminated ground water in the bedrock aquifer ☐ Contaminated ground water in multiple aquifer units ☐ Multiple distinct ground water plumes ☐ Contaminated ground water migrating off-site ☐ Natural background ground water contamination ☐ Contaminated ground water discharging to surface water or Environmentally Sensitive Natural Resource (ESNR) ☐ Residual or free product ☐ Natural background above Direct Remediation Standards ☐ Soil contamination in an ESNR	Vaste/Coern ed zone AOC-4:1	OPR Historic Fill Ground
SE	ECTION E. APPLICABLE REMEDIATION STANDARDS		
	Were Default Remediation Standards used for all contaminants? If "Yes," check all that apply: ☑ Direct Contact ☑ Impact to Ground Water Soil Screening Levels ☐ Ecological Screening Levels	Yes	□ No
2.	If "Yes," check all that apply:	\ Yes	□ No
	Compliance Averaging Method Utilized Spatially		
	Arithmetic 95 Percent Weighted 75 F	Percent/	
		Procedure	<u> </u>
	☐ Initialition Pathway ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐		

3.	Has a compliance option been utilized to determine compliance with the Impact to Ground Water Pathway? (If "Yes," check all that apply)	⊠ Yes	□No	
	☐ Immobile Compounds			
	Data evaluation for metals and semi-volatiles nickel			
	☐ Data evaluation for volatile organics derived from discharges of petroleum mixtures	_	_	
4.	Was an interim standard used for a contaminant where a standard does not exist?	Yes	X No	
5.	Were Alternate Remediation Standards used for the Ingestion/Dermal Pathway?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	
6.	Were Alternate Remediation Standards used for the Inhalation Pathway?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	
7.	Were Site Specific Standards used for the Impact to Ground Water Pathway?	X Yes	□No	
	 ☐ Soil-Water Partitioning Equation ☐ DAF Modification ☐ Sesoil ☐ Sesoil/AT123D ☐ Lead - Clean Fill 			
8.	Were Site Specific Ecological Remediation Goals used?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	
9.	What is the ground water classification for this site as per N.J.A.C. 7:9C? (check all that apply)			
	☐ Class I-A ☐ Class II-A			
	☐ Class I-PL Pinelands Protection Area ☐ Class III-A			
	☐ Class I-PL Pinelands Preservation Area ☐ Class III-B			
SE	CTION F. ALTERNATIVE AND CLEAN FILL USE			
1.	Was alternative fill used?	Yes	⊠ No	
2.	Was clean fill used?	.⊠ Yes	☐ No	
3.	Was material sent off-site for use as alternative and/or clean fill?	□Yes	⊠ No	
	If "Yes," specify the section/page in the RAR where it states the SRP site receiving this	_	_	
	alternative and/or clean fill:			
4.	Was material sent off-site for use as alternative and/or clean fill at a non-SRP site?	Yes	⊠ No	
	If "Yes," specify the section/page in the RAR where it states the non-SRP site receiving this	_	_	
	alternative and/or clean fill:			
5.	Was alternative fill used in excess of the amount required for the remedial action?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	
	If "Yes," was the NJDEP's preapproval obtained pursuant to N.J.A.C. 7:26E-5.2(b)3?	☐ Yes	☐ No	
	ECTION G. REMEDIAL ACTION REPORT INFORMATION			
	Did the remarks include a remarkial action for acits?	∇ Voc	□ Na	
1.	Did the remedy include a remedial action for soils?	K Yes	∐ No	
2.	Is a restricted use required?	. Yes	⋉ No	
	If "Yes," indicate the type of restriction being implemented.			
3.	If applicable, has consent from all involved property owners been obtained (i.e., for institutional or engineering controls)?	Yes	□No	
4.	Was an engineering control required?		_ ⊠ No	
	If "Yes," indicate the receptor(s) each engineering control is intended to protect. (check all that apply)		_	
	☐ Human ☐ Ecological ☐ Offsite Impacts			
Cround Water				
	ound Water Did the remedy include a remedial action for ground water?	□ Vec	⊠ No	
]	If "No," skip to Ecological	163	Z INO	
6	Is a restricted use required for ground water?	□Yes	□No	

7.	Is a revised CEA required?	☐ Yes	□No
8.	Do any contaminant levels in ground water currently exceed the vapor intrusion ground water trigger?	☐ Yes	□No
Ec	ological		
9.	Did the remedy include a remedial action for Environmentally Sensitive Natural Resources (ESNRs)?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
10	. Was post-remedial sampling performed to determine whether contaminant levels currently meet ecological screening levels or ecological remediation goals?	☐ Yes	□No
11	. Did the remedial action require filling of State open waters or wetlands?	☐ Yes	☐ No
12	. Have ecological risk-based remediation goals been developed?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	If "Yes," have the ecological risk-based remediation goals been approved by NJDEP?	☐ Yes	☐ No
13	. Have Risk Management Decision (RMD) goals been developed?	☐ Yes	☐ No
	If "Yes," have the RMD goals been approved by NJDEP?	 ∏ Yes	☐ No
	Have any vapor intrusion engineering controls/mitigation systems been installed in order to mitigate a vapor condition in a structure?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
SE	CTION H. LABORATORY DATA		
1.	Were all data submitted in the appropriate full and/or reduced formats according to the deliverables defined in N.J.A.C. 7:26E-2?	.⊠ Yes	□No
2.	Do all data submitted meet the quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) requirements incorporated by reference in N.J.A.C. 7:26E-2 for: sampling		□ No ⊠ No
3.	How was it determined that the data complied with the QA/QC requirements? ☐ Laboratory non-conformance summary/narrative ☐ Laboratory correspondence ☐ LSRP review ☑ Independent contractor review		
	Other:	-	
4.	Has any data been qualified and used?		∐ No
5.	Has any data been rejected and used?	.[X] Yes	∐ No
6.	Provide the page number for the "Reliability of Data" section of the report: pg 33		